

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/898,809		RAJAGOPALAN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
			1624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BEVERLY LYMAN

(3) _____

(2) JOHN DOLL

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11/7/03

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: NONE

Identification of prior art discussed: NONE

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Finality of the Office action dated 05/14/03 will be withdrawn and the Declaration of record will be considered in the next office action.

No response to the First Rejection or this Interview Summary is required.

John J. Doll, Director
Technology Center 1600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

John Doll
Examiner's signature, if required